

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

---

LONDON-SIRE RECORDS INC., et al., )	
Plaintiffs, )	)
	)
v. )	C.A. No. 04-12434-NG
	) LEAD DOCKET NUMBER
DOES 1-4, )	
Defendants. )	

---

GERTNER, D.J.:

**ORDER RE: EXPEDITED DISCOVERY**

June 20, 2005

On April 20, 2005, and June 1, 2005, the Court consolidated twenty-three cases under the above captioned lead case. As a result of the consolidation, thirteen motions for leave to take immediate discovery were left pending.<sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs have now renewed those motions by way of a single motion filed under the lead case, Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Leave to Take Immediate Discovery [document #27]. Plaintiffs' renewed motion is hereby

---

<sup>1</sup> The following are the motions left pending in the consolidated cases:

- (1) Capitol Records, Inc., et al. v. Does 1-2, C.A. 05-10565 [document #2];
- (2) Virgin Records America, Inc., et al. v. John Doe, C.A. 05-10566 [document #2];
- (3) Sony BMG Music Entertainment, et al. v. John Doe, C.A. 05-10567 [document #2];
- (4) Atlantic Recording Corp., et al. v. Does 1-3, C.A. 05-10568 [document #2];
- (5) Interscope Records, et al. v. John Doe, C.A. 05-10569 [document #2];
- (6) Capitol Records, Inc., et al. v. Does 1-11, C.A. 05-10731 [document #2];
- (7) Motown Record Co., et al. v. Does 1-22, C.A. 05-10732 [document #2];
- (8) Atlantic Recording Corp., et al. v. Does 1-25, C.A. 05-10733 [document #2];
- (9) London-Sire Records Inc., et al. v. Does 1-25, C.A. 05-10734 [document #2];
- (10) Sony BMG Music Entertainment, et al. v. Does 1-2, C.A. 05-11099 [document #4];
- (11) Motown Record Co., et al. v. Does 1-6, C.A. 05-11100 [document #4];
- (12) Artista Records LLC, et al. v. Does 1-8, C.A. 05-11101 [document #4]; and
- (13) Elektra Entertainment Group Inc., et al. v. John Doe, C.A. 05-11102 [document #4].

**GRANTED** with respect only to the thirteen discovery motions left pending in the consolidated cases.

It is further **ORDERED** as follows:

1. Plaintiffs may take immediate discovery of Assumption College, Boston University, Brandeis University, Harvard University, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Suffolk University, Tufts University, and the University of Massachusetts-Amherst to obtain the identity of each Doe defendant by serving a Rule 45 subpoena that seeks information sufficient to identify each Doe defendant, including name, address, telephone number, e-mail address, and Media Access Control addresses for each Doe defendant. No further information about the Doe defendants shall be revealed;
2. Plaintiffs may use the information obtained by this Rule 45 subpoena solely for the purpose of protecting plaintiffs' rights under the Copyright Act;
3. Plaintiffs shall attach the Court Directed Notice Regarding Issuance of Subpoena, a copy of which is attached to this Order, to their Rule 45 subpoena. The Rule 45 subpoena shall instruct the college or university to distribute a copy of the Notice to each Doe defendant within seven days of service of the subpoena.

4. The Rule 45 subpoena shall also instruct the college or university not to respond to the subpoena until fourteen days after each Doe defendant has received the Notice.
5. Any future notices to any defendant in this case or any related case filed in the District of Massachusetts must be pre-approved by the Court and filed on the record.

**SO ORDERED.**

**Dated: June 20, 2005**

**s/ NANCY GERTNER U.S.D.J.**

**COURT-DIRECTED NOTICE  
REGARDING ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENA**

A subpoena has been issued directing the college or university that serves as your Internet Service Provider ("ISP") to disclose your name and contact information. The subpoena has been issued because you have been sued in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts in Boston, Massachusetts, as a "John Doe" by several major record companies. You have been sued for infringing copyrights on the Internet by uploading and/or downloading music. The record companies have identified you only as a "John Doe" and have served a subpoena on your ISP to learn your identity. This notice is intended to inform you of some of your rights and options.

**YOUR NAME HAS NOT YET BEEN DISCLOSED.  
YOUR NAME WILL BE DISCLOSED IN 14 DAYS IF YOU DO NOT  
CHALLENGE THE SUBPOENA**

Your name has not yet been disclosed. The record companies have given the Court enough information about your alleged infringement to obtain a subpoena to identify you, but the Court has not yet decided whether you are liable for infringement. You can challenge the subpoena in Court. You have 14 days from the date that you received this notice to file a motion to quash or vacate the subpoena. If you file a motion to quash the subpoena, your identity will not be disclosed until the motion is resolved (and the companies cannot proceed against you until you are identified). The second page of this notice can assist you in locating an attorney, and lists other resources to help you determine how to respond to the subpoena. If you do not file a motion to quash, at the end of the 14 day period, your ISP will send the record company plaintiffs your identification information.

**OTHER ISSUES REGARDING THE LAWSUIT AGAINST YOU**

To maintain a lawsuit against you in the District Court of Massachusetts, the record companies must establish jurisdiction over you in Massachusetts. If you do not live or work in Massachusetts, or visit the state regularly, you may be able to challenge the Massachusetts court's jurisdiction over you. If your challenge is successful, the case in Massachusetts will be dismissed, but the record companies may be able to file against you in another state where there is jurisdiction.

The record companies may be willing to discuss the possible settlement of their claims against you. The parties may be able to reach a settlement agreement without your name appearing on the public record. You may be asked to disclose your identity to the record companies if you seek to pursue settlement. If a settlement is reached, the case against you will be dismissed. It is possible that defendants who seek to settle at the beginning of a case will be offered more favorable settlement terms by the record companies. You may contact the record companies' representatives by phone at (206)

973-4145, by fax at (206) 242-0905, or by email at [info@settlementsupportcenter.com](mailto:info@settlementsupportcenter.com).

You may also wish to find your own lawyer (see resource list below) to help you evaluate whether it is in your interest to try to reach a settlement or to defend against the lawsuit.

#### **RESOURCE LIST**

The organizations listed below provide guidance on how to find an attorney. If you live in or near Massachusetts or Boston, the second and third listings below provide referrals for local attorneys.

American Bar Association

<http://www.abanet.org/legalservices/findlegalhelp/home.htm>

Massachusetts Bar Association

<http://www.massbar.org>

Lawyer referral service - (617) 338-0610

Boston Bar Association

<http://www.bostonbar.org>

Lawyer referral service - (617) 742-0625

The organizations listed below have appeared before other courts around the country in similar lawsuits as "friends of the court" to attempt to protect what they believe to be the due process and First Amendment rights of Doe defendants.

Electronic Frontier Foundation

454 Shotwell Street

San Francisco, California 94110-1914

email: [RIAAcases@eff.org](mailto:RIAAcases@eff.org)

Public Citizen

1600 20<sup>th</sup> Street, NW

Washington, DC 20009

phone: (202) 588-7721

email: [litigation@citizen.org](mailto:litigation@citizen.org)